

MEDICAL POLICY

| MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Medical Policy Title | Pacemakers and Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) Devices |
| Policy Number | 7.01.58 |
| Category | Technology Assessment |
| Original Effective Date | 11/21/02 |
| Committee Approval Date | 10/15/03, 08/19/04, 04/21/05, 01/19/06, 11/16/06, 09/20/07, 10/23/08, 09/17/09, 04/22/10, 06/16/11, 06/21/12, 06/20/13, 08/21/14, 07/16/15, 07/21/16, 07/20/17, 08/16/18, 08/15/19, 07/16/20, 08/19/21, 08/18/22, 08/17/23, 04/18/24 |
| Current Effective Date | 08/15/24 |
| Archived Date | N/A |
| Archive Review Date | N/A |
| Product Disclaimer | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Services are contract dependent; if a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply.</i> • <i>If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</i> • <i>If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</i> • <i>If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</i> • <i>If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.</i> |

POLICY STATEMENT

Permanent Pacemakers

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following sinus node dysfunctions:
 - A. Symptomatic sinus node dysfunction as evidenced by **BOTH** of the following:
 1. Documented sinus node dysfunction including **ONE** of the below:
 - a. Sinus bradycardia at rate less than 50 beats per minute; **or**
 - b. Sinus pauses greater than three (3) seconds; **and**
 2. Symptoms attributable to sinus node dysfunction including **ONE** of the below:
 - a. Syncope or pre-syncope;
 - b. Heart failure symptoms; **or**
 - c. Exertional fatigue and impaired exercise tolerance;
 - B. Sinus bradycardia at rate less than 40 beats per minute and symptoms possibly related to bradycardia;
 - C. Symptomatic sinus bradycardia (as defined above) because of guideline directed management and continued treatment is clinically necessary;
 - D. Symptoms attributable to bradycardia as listed above and evidence of tachy-brady syndrome (sinus bradycardia, ectopic atrial bradycardia, or sinus pause alternating with periods of atrial flutter or atrial fibrillation (AF));
 - E. Symptomatic chronotropic incompetence defined as limitations due to the inability to achieve 80% of maximum predicted heart rate (220-age).
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following related to atrioventricular block (AVB):

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 2 of 17

- A. AVB including **ONE** of the following with or without symptoms:
 - 1. Second-degree Mobitz type II;
 - 2. High-grade (greater or equal to two (2) consecutive P waves at a constant physiologic rate that do not conduct to the ventricles; **or**
 - 3. Third-degree (complete heart block);
 - B. Any degree of AVB with **ONE** of the following symptoms that are clearly attributable to the AVB:
 - 1. Syncope or pre-syncope;
 - 2. heart failure; **or**
 - 3. exertional fatigue and impaired exercise tolerance;
 - C. Third-degree and advanced second-degree AV block at any anatomic level associated with sustained or non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (ventricular rhythm at rate >100 bpm lasting ≥ 3 consecutive beats) presumed due to AVB;
 - D. Marked first-degree AVB (PR interval >0.3 seconds) or second-degree AVB with symptoms similar to those of pacemaker syndrome;
 - E. Symptomatic AVB because of guideline directed management and continued treatment is clinically necessary;
 - F. Persistent or permanent AF and symptomatic bradycardia including **ONE** of the following:
 - 1. Rate less than 50 bpm; **or**
 - 2. Regular QRS intervals indicating complete AVB;
 - G. Second-degree AVB with a documented pause of greater or equal to five (5) seconds during waking in the presence of AF, with or without symptoms;
 - H. Second degree AVB with documented periods of asystole greater or equal to 3.0 seconds in the presence of sinus rhythm, with or without symptoms;
 - I. Second-degree AVB noted to be located at intra- or infra-His levels at electrophysiology study (EPS), with or without symptoms;
 - J. Any AVB indication listed above occurring after acute myocardial infarction that does not resolve within five (5) days;
 - K. Congenital complete or high-degree AVB in the presence of **ANY** of the following:
 - 1. Symptomatic;
 - 2. Wide QRS escape rhythm;
 - 3. Mean daytime heart rate below 50 bpm;
 - 4. Pauses greater than three (3) times the cycle length of the ventricular escape rhythm;
 - 5. Complex ventricular ectopy;
 - 6. Prolonged QT interval; **or**
 - 7. Ventricular dysfunction, dilation or significant mitral regurgitation.
- III. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following related to conduction disorders with 1:1 atrioventricular conduction:
- A. Individuals with syncope and bundle branch block and **ONE** of the following at electrophysiology study (EPS):
 - 1. Baseline HV interval greater than or equal to 70 milliseconds (ms) (see policy guidelines); **or**
 - 2. Second- or third-degree intra- or infra-Hisian block during incremental atrial pacing.
 - B. Alternating bundle branch block with or without symptoms;
 - C. HV interval greater or equal to 100 ms noted at EPS, with or without symptoms;
 - D. Intra- or infra-Hisian block TB.
- IV. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following related to recurrent syncope:
- A. Spontaneous documented symptomatic asystolic pause >3 seconds due to sinus arrest or atrioventricular AVB;
 - B. Spontaneous documented asymptomatic asystolic pause >6 seconds due to sinus arrest or AVB;

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 3 of 17

- C. Cardioinhibitory carotid sinus syndrome as documented by **ONE** of the below:
 - 1. Syncope caused by spontaneously occurring carotid sinus stimulation; **or**
 - 2. Carotid sinus pressure that induces syncope and/or ventricular asystole of ≥ 3 seconds;
 - D. Syncope associated with asystole of ≥ 3 seconds during tilt testing;
 - E. Bundle branch block and **ONE** of the following at electrophysiology study (EPS):
 - 1. Baseline HV interval ≥ 70 ms; **or**
 - 2. Second- or third-degree intra- or infra-Hisian block during incremental atrial pacing;
 - F. Syncope after cardiac transplantation with or without documentation of bradyarrhythmia.
- V. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following related to peri-procedural and post-operative indications:
- A. Prior to a planned catheter ablation of the atrioventricular (AV) junction for **ONE** of the following:
 - 1. Rate control strategy for management of AF; **or**
 - 2. Supraventricular tachycardia resulting in tachycardia induced cardiomyopathy that is not controlled with ablation or medical therapy;
 - B. Post Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) for **ANY** of the following:
 - 1. Complete or high-degree atrioventricular block (AVB) that persists for 24 to 48 hours after TAVI; ~~OR~~
 - 2. New onset alternating bundle branch block after TAVI; **or**
 - 3. Pre-existing right bundle branch block (RBBB) and new conduction abnormality onset during or after a TAVI such as:
 - a. Transient high-degree AVB;
 - b. PR prolongation;
 - c. QRS axis change;
 - C. Sinus node dysfunction or AVB associated with symptoms or hemodynamic instability occurring after cardiac surgery that does not resolve within five (5) days;
 - D. Post cardiac transplant for **ANY** of the following:
 - 1. Relative bradycardia that is prolonged or recurrent, which limits rehabilitation or discharge after postoperative recovery; **or**
 - 2. Syncope with or without documentation of bradyarrhythmia.
- VI. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for progressive neuromuscular diseases known to involve the heart with any degree of AV block including first degree AV block or any fascicular block, with or without symptoms, because there may be unpredictable progression of AV conduction disease. Progressive neuromuscular diseases known to involve the heart include:
- A. Myotonic muscular dystrophy;
 - B. Kearns-Sayre syndrome;
 - C. Erb dystrophy (limb-girdle muscular dystrophy);
 - D. Peroneal muscular atrophy.
- VII. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent pacemaker implantation is considered **not medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following indications:
- A. Sinus node dysfunction when there is documentation of **ANY** of the following:
 - 1. Individual is asymptomatic;
 - 2. The symptoms suggestive of bradycardia have been clearly documented to occur in the absence of bradycardia; **or**
 - 3. Sinus node dysfunction is due to nonessential drug therapy;
 - B. Fascicular block without AV block or without symptoms concerning for AV block;

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 4 of 17

- C. Incidentally noted hypersensitive cardioinhibitory response to carotid sinus stimulation when the individual remains asymptomatic or has vague symptoms;
- D. Asymptomatic First-degree AV block;
- E. Asymptomatic type-1 second-degree AV block at the supra-His (AV node) level or that which is not known to be intra- or infra- Hisian;
- F. Asymptomatic transient AV block in the absence of intraventricular conduction defects or in isolated single fascicular block;
- G. Situational vasovagal syncope when avoidance behavior is effectively preventing syncopal episodes;
- H. Prior to TAVR as a prophylactic measure in individuals with RBBB when there is no indication for permanent pacing;
- I. For the purpose of cardiac contractility modulation.

Leadless Pacemakers

VIII. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent right ventricular leadless pacemaker (CPT 33274) implants are considered **medically appropriate** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- A. Meets **ONE** of the following indications for leadless right ventricular pacemaker:
 - 1. Symptomatic paroxysmal or permanent high-grade AV block in the presence of AF;
 - 2. Symptomatic paroxysmal or permanent high-grade AV block in the absence of AF, as an alternative to dual chamber pacing, when atrial lead placement is considered difficult, high risk, or not deemed necessary for effective therapy; **or**
 - 3. Symptomatic bradycardia-tachycardia syndrome or sinus node dysfunction (sinus bradycardia or sinus pauses), as an alternative to atrial or dual chamber pacing, when atrial lead placement is considered difficult, high risk, or not deemed necessary for effective therapy;
- B. The following contraindications for leadless pacemaker are **NOT** present:
 - 1. An implanted inferior vena cava filter;
 - 2. A mechanical tricuspid valve.

IX. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent dual chamber leadless pacemaker (CPT 0795T) implants are considered **medically appropriate** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- A. Meets **ONE** of the following indications for leadless dual chamber pacemaker:
 - 1. Sick sinus syndrome;
 - 2. Chronic, symptomatic second- and third-degree AV block;
 - 3. Recurrent Adams-Stokes syndrome; **or**
 - 4. Symptomatic bilateral bundle branch block when tachyarrhythmia and other causes have been ruled out;
- B. The following contraindications for leadless pacemaker are **NOT** present:
 - 1. An implanted inferior vena cava filter;
 - 2. A mechanical tricuspid valve.

X. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, permanent leadless right atrial pacemaker (CPT 0823T) implants are considered medically appropriate when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- A. Meets the following indication for leadless right atrial pacemaker:
 - 1. Sinus node dysfunction with normal AV and intraventricular conduction systems;
- B. The following contraindications for leadless pacemaker are **NOT** present:
 - 1. An implanted inferior vena cava filter;
 - 2. A mechanical tricuspid valve.

Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT)-D implantation

XI. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer reviewed literature, CRT-D implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following indications:

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 5 of 17

- A. Individuals with ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, sinus rhythm with LBBB and **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. Left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% despite optimal medical therapy (OMT);
 - 2. Left bundle branch block (LBBB) with QRS greater than or equal to 120 msec; **and**
 - 3. Symptomatic heart failure New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II, III, or ambulatory class IV;
 - B. Individuals with ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, sinus rhythm with non-LBBB and **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% despite OMT;
 - 2. Non-LBBB pattern with QRS duration greater than or equal to 150 msec; **and**
 - 3. Symptomatic heart failure NYHA class III, or ambulatory class IV;
 - C. Individuals with AF and NYHA class II, III, or IV and **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% despite OMT;
 - 2. Meet **ONE** of the following CRT criteria:
 - a. LBBB with a QRS duration ≥ 120 ms and symptomatic heart failure NYHA functional class II, III, or ambulatory class IV; **or**
 - b. Non-LBBB pattern with a QRS duration greater than or equal to 150 and symptomatic heart failure NYHA class III or ambulatory class IV; **and**
 - 3. Non-pharmacologic or pharmacologic rate control will allow near 100% biventricular pacing with CRT;
 - D. Individuals with dilated cardiomyopathy with AF requiring AV junction ablation for rate control and **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% despite OMT; **and**
 - 2. Uncontrolled heart rate requiring AV junction ablation;
 - E. Individuals in sinus rhythm or AF with dilated cardiomyopathy with high-grade AV block and **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% despite OMT; **and**
 - 2. High-grade AV block requiring pacing;
 - F. Upgrade to CRT-D for individuals that meet **ALL** the following criteria:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction greater or less than 35% despite OMT;
 - 2. New or worsening symptomatic heart failure (NYHA functional class II, III, or ambulatory class IV) following implantation of a non-CRT pacemaker or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD); **and**
 - 3. Ventricular pacing greater than 40%.
- XII. Based upon our criteria and peer reviewed literature, CRT-P (Pacing) is **medically appropriate** when **ALL** of the requirements of CRT-D have been met and the individual in consultation with the providing physician declines the ICD function.
- XIII. Based upon our criteria and peer reviewed literature, CRT-D or CRT-P implantation is considered **not medically appropriate** in individuals who have had a myocardial infarction within the past 40 days or who have had coronary revascularization within the past 90 days unless a separate indication for permanent pacemaker implantation exists (thus preventing a likely repeat procedure for an upgraded device in the near future).
- XIV. Based upon our criteria and peer reviewed literature, CRT-D implantation is considered **not medically appropriate** in the setting of a reversible cardiomyopathy such as: toxic, metabolic, or tachycardia induced cardiomyopathy. Once the reversible aberration is corrected, clinical reassessment is indicated.

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT)-P

- XV. Based upon our criteria and peer reviewed literature, CRT-P implantation is considered **medically appropriate** for **ANY** of the following:

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 6 of 17

- A. Individuals with high grade AV block and NYHA class I, II or III congestive heart failure with **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV ejection fraction less than 50%; **and**
 - 2. High grade AV block, including AV nodal ablation, requiring more than 40% ventricular pacing (CRT)-P;
- B. Individuals with pacing induced cardiomyopathy requesting an upgrade from non-CRT pacemaker to CRT-P with **ALL** of the following:
 - 1. LV EF greater than 50% prior to implantation or non-CRT pacemaker;
 - 2. Right ventricular pacing burden greater or equal to 40%; **and**
 - 3. **ONE** of the following occurring after implantation of non-CRT pacemaker:
 - a. Decline in LV EF greater or equal to 10%; **or**
 - b. New or worsening heart failure symptoms NYHA Class II or III;
- C. His bundle pacing or left bundle branch area pacing (CPT 33207 or 33208) may be considered when indications for CRT-P are met and **ONE** of the following is met:
 - 1. LV lead placement was attempted and was unsuccessful or suboptimal; **or**
 - 2. His bundle pacing or LBB area pacing is planned in place of biventricular pacing.

Wireless Cardiac Resynchronization

- XVI. Based upon our criteria and peer reviewed literature, wireless cardiac resynchronization permanent LV leadless pacemakers are considered **investigational**.
- XVII. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, biventricular pacing is considered **investigational** for patients who do not meet any of the indications identified above.
- XVIII. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, an intrathoracic fluid monitoring sensor is considered **investigational** as a component of a biventricular pacemaker.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #7.01.06 Implantable Cardiac Defibrillators (ICD)

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental or Investigational Services

POLICY GUIDELINES

- I. Optimal medical therapy for heart failure should include a beta-blocker and **ONE** of the following:
 - A. ACE inhibitors;
 - B. angiotensin II receptor blocker; or
 - C. angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor.
- II. The HV interval is a measure of the conduction time from the His bundle to the ventricular myocardium.
- III. The New York Heart Association (NYHA) Heart Failure Classification (NYHA, 1994) are defined as follows:
 - Functional Class I: No limitation of physical activity. Ordinary physical activity does not cause undue fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea or anginal pain.
 - Functional Class II: Slight limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Ordinary physical activity results in fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea or anginal pain.
 - Functional Class III: Marked limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Less than ordinary activity causes fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea or anginal pain.
 - Functional Class IV: Unable to carry on any physical activity without discomfort. Symptoms of heart failure at rest. If any physical activity is undertaken, discomfort increases.
 - Ambulatory Functional Class IV: Class IV heart failure with no active acute coronary syndrome; no inotropes; and on guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT) defined as initial medical therapy with angiotensin-converting enzyme

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 7 of 17

inhibitors (ACE) or angiotensin-receptor blockers (ARB), beta-blockers (BB), and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) titrating to maximally tolerated doses for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF).

DESCRIPTION

Approximately 30 percent of persons with chronic heart failure have intraventricular conduction disorders resulting in a discoordinated contraction pattern and a wide QRS interval on the electrocardiogram (EKG). Studies suggest that this intraventricular conduction delay is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Prolonged QRS duration in these patients contributes to abnormal septal wall motion, reduced cardiac contractility, decreased diastolic filling time and extended mitral valve regurgitation. Biventricular pacing, or cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT), along with optimal medical therapy, has demonstrated improved hemodynamic status in some patients with chronic heart failure.

CRT therapy is treatment is used to help the heartbeat with the correct rhythm, it uses pacemakers to restore the normal timing pattern of the heartbeat. The CRT pacemakers (CRT-P) coordinates how timing of the upper heart chambers and the lower heart chambers and works on the timing between the left and the right sides of the heart. CRT with pacemaker and an implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD) (CRT-D) this device can detect dangerous heart rhythms and deliver a stronger shock than a pacemaker, to reset the heartbeat.

Wireless LV Pacemaker: The wireless Stimulation Endocardially for Cardiac Resynchronization (WiSE-CRT) system delivers ultrasonic energy to a LV endocardial receiver electrode to achieve biventricular pacing. A percutaneously delivered LV endocardial receiver electrode (instead of a lead) and powered wirelessly by a subcutaneous ultrasound pulse generator. The transmitter placed subcutaneously sends ultrasound to an electrode in the left ventricle, which converts the ultrasound waves into an electrical stimulation potential. The transmitter is connected to the battery via a cable that serves as a source of energy. With a very short delay (3–10ms), the transmitter can send a preprogrammed ultrasonic pulse acoustically to the electrode. The electrode converts the ultrasonic energy into electrical energy, which is used to activate the left ventricle. Stimulation can be simultaneous and biventricular due to the endocardial stimulation site.

Right Ventricular Leadless Pacemaker: The permanent right ventricular leadless pacemakers (CPT 33274) consist of a single leadless device implanted directly into the right ventricle. The Medtronic Micra VR and Abbott Aveir VR right ventricular leadless pacemakers are capable only of VVI and VVIR pacing. The Medtronic Micra AV right ventricular leadless pacemaker is also capable of VDD pacing. The right ventricular leadless pacemakers do not have capability for atrial pacing. The estimated battery life is about 10 years.

Dual Chamber Leadless Pacemaker (i.e., Abbott Aveir DR leadless pacemaker system): In contrast to the right ventricular leadless pacemakers, dual chamber leadless pacemakers have dual-chamber sensing and pacing functionality. The Abbott Aveir DR leadless pacemaker system consists of two separate components: one implanted in the right atrium and the other in the right ventricle.

RATIONALE

Professional Society Guidelines referenced for this policy:

| Professional Society | Title of Guideline | Year |
|--|---|------|
| Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)/ Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS)/ Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS) | Guideline on cardiac physiologic pacing for the avoidance and mitigation of heart failure | 2023 |
| ACC/AHA/ACCP/HRS | Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Atrial Fibrillation | 2023 |

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 8 of 17

| | | |
|---|--|------|
| | | |
| American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) | Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure | 2022 |
| ACC/AHA/HRS | Guideline on the Evaluation and Management of Patients with Bradycardia and Cardiac Conduction Delay | 2018 |
| AHA/ACC/HRS | Guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death | 2017 |
| ACC Foundation (ACCF)/AHA/HRS | Focused Update of the 2008 Guidelines for Device-Based Therapy of Cardiac Rhythm Abnormalities | 2012 |

Several randomized clinical trials have identified beneficial outcomes to support that the use of biventricular pacemakers in the treatment of heart failure improves both hemodynamic and clinical performance. The evidence in the peer-reviewed literature supports the use of CRT to alleviate symptoms of severe heart failure in patients with ventricular dyssynchrony, decreased cardiac function, and optimal drug therapy. The studies in general report improved cardiac function, exercise tolerance, and quality of life, as well as a decrease in heart failure-related hospitalizations and a decrease in mortality in patients responding to CRT.

A sub analysis of the MADIT-CRT trial data (Zareba, 2011) of patients with NYHA class I/II CHF demonstrated that, compared with non-LBBB patients (those with RBBB or nonspecific intraventricular conduction disturbances), patients with LBBB QRS morphology showed significant clinical benefit from CRT-D therapy, as measured by reduced risk of heart failure event or death and risk of ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation or death. Non-LBBB patients did not benefit clinically, despite a significant reduction in left ventricular volumes. These findings formed the basis for recent FDA approval of new broadened indications for CRT in mild or asymptomatic heart failure patients with LBBB. There is still a question as to whether CRT therapy should be used in non-LBBB patients, even when advanced heart failure is present, and which non-LBBB patients might still benefit clinically from CRT. Further research investigating the rationale, mechanisms, and clinical benefit is needed to determine whether CRT therapy should be pursued in non-LBBB patients.

The REVERSE trial enrolled a total of 610 patients, all of whom received a CRT device. Patients were randomized to CRT-ON or CRT-OFF for a period of 12 months, in double-blind fashion. The primary outcome was a composite measure that classified patients as improved, unchanged, or worse. There were no significant differences reported on this primary outcome. There was a decrease in hospitalizations for heart failure in the CRT-ON group (4.1%, 17/419) compared with the CRT-OFF group (7.9%, 15/191). Changes in functional status, as measured by the 6-minute walk, were similar between groups. Quality of life, as measured by the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire, was also similar between groups.

The MIRACLE ICD study was the smallest of the three studies, enrolling 186 patients with class II CHF and an indication for an ICD in an unblinded fashion. Patients were randomized to ICD/CRT-ON versus ICD/CRT-OFF and followed for six months. There was no difference in the primary outcome of peak oxygen uptake between groups. There were also no differences reported between groups on the secondary outcomes of functional status as measured by the six-minute walk, QOL as measured by the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire, and New York Heart Association CHF class.

All three randomized, controlled trials reported significant improvements in echocardiographic measures of left-ventricular (LV) pump function. LV ejection fraction improved more in the CRT group in each trial, with a range of improvement of 3.0–11.0%, compared with the control group. There were also substantial improvements in LV end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes (LVESV, LVEDV) in all three trials. All reported relatively large improvements in the

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 9 of 17

LVESV and the LVEDV in favor of the CRT group. Complications in these trials were not uniformly reported; however, each trial contained some information on short- and long-term complications. Short-term complication rates ranged from 4–22%, with lead dislodgement and hematoma at the access site most common. Long-term complications were reported by two of the trials, with rates of 16% and 35%. The majority of these long-term complications were lead dislodgement.

In 2005, the InSync Sentry system received FDA approval through the supplemental PMA process. This combined biventricular pacemaker/AICD is additionally equipped to monitor intrathoracic fluid levels using bioimpedance technology, referred to as Optivol Fluid Status monitoring. Bioimpedance measures are performed using a vector from the right ventricular coil on the lead in the right side of the heart to the implanted pacemaker device; changes in bioimpedance reflect intrathoracic fluid status and are evaluated based on a computer algorithm. Adding intrathoracic fluid status monitoring has been proposed as a more sensitive monitoring technique, because a change in fluid status may be an early indicator of impending heart failure, permitting early intervention and, it is hoped, resulting in a decreased rate of hospitalization. At this time there is insufficient evidence to evaluate the benefit of bioimpedance monitoring on the clinical management of patients with heart failure. Medtronic, the manufacturer of the OptiVol Fluid Status Monitoring feature of the InSync Sentry system, has announced several ongoing clinical trials of the device.

In 2019, the U.S. FDA granted Breakthrough Device Designation for the WiSE (Wireless Stimulation Endocardially) CRT System (EBR Systems, Inc) for the treatment of heart failure. The WiSE CRT System is designed to improve the heart's pumping ability by synchronizing the left and right ventricles to distribute blood to the lungs and body more effectively. The WiSE-CRT provides biventricular pacing by sensing right ventricular pacing output from a previously placed conventional device (i.e., pacemaker or defibrillator using uni- or biventricular leads) that subsequently transmits an ultrasound pulse to the wireless electrode inserted onto the left ventricle endocardium resulting in a left ventricular pacing pulse emission. The WiSE-CRT has European CE approval and continues to be studied in clinical trials to assess its safety and efficacy in support of U.S. FDA approval.

Cang et al. (2022) conducted a meta-analysis, five studies involving 175 Heart failure patients for WiSE CRT were included, and patients were followed-up for six months. The implanted success rate ranged from 76.5 to 100%. WiSE CRT resulted in significantly narrower QRSd [mean difference (MD):-38.21ms, 95% confidence interval (CI): -44.36 to -32.07, $p < 0.001$], improved left ventricular ejection fraction (MD: 6.07%, 95% CI: 4.43 to 7.71, $I^2 = 0\%$, $p < 0.001$), reduced left ventricular end-systolic volume (MD: -23.47ml, 95% CI: -37.18 to -9.13, $p < 0.001$), and reduced left ventricular end-diastolic volume (MD: -24.02ml, 95% CI:-37.01 to -11.03, $p = 0.02$). The evidence from current studies suggests that leadless endocardial LV pacing resynchronization is effective for heart failure patients who have failed conventional CRT or needed a device upgrade, more research is needed to determine its use for rescue therapy.

A prospective study by Okabe et al. (2022) was conducted to present short-term outcomes with WiSE-CRT system in centers with no prior implanting experience. The data was prospectively collected from 19 centers where WiSE-CRT systems were implanted during the roll-in phase of the SOLVE-CRT. The SOLVE-CRT (Stimulation Of the Left Ventricular Endocardium for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Non-Responders and Previously Untreatable Patients) study is an international, multicenter prospective randomized trial of the WiSE-CRT system evaluating its efficacy and safety in CRT nonresponders and CRT-eligible patients who were previously untreated. Patients were followed at 1, 3, and 6 months, including transthoracic echo (TTE) at 6 months. WiSE-CRT was successfully implanted in all 31 attempted cases, and 30 patients completed the 6-month follow-up. Fourteen (46.7%) patients demonstrated greater or equal to NYHA class improvement. Transthoracic electrocardiogram data were available in 29 patients. The study demonstrated a high success rate of LV endocardial electrode placement in centers with no prior implanting experience. Favorable clinical responses in heart failure symptoms and significant LV reverse remodeling were noted.

CODES

- *Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.*

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 10 of 17

- *CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.*
- *Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.*
- *Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/ appropriate = (NMN).*

CPT Codes

| Code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 0515T (E/I) | Insertion of wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing, including device interrogation and programming, and imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed; complete system (includes electrode and generator [transmitter and battery]) |
| 0516T (E/I) | Insertion of wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing, including device interrogation and programming, and imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed; electrode only |
| 0517T (E/I) | Insertion of wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing, including device interrogation and programming, and imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed; both components of pulse generator (battery and transmitter) only |
| 0518T (E/I) | Removal of only pulse generator for wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing; battery component only |
| 0519T (E/I) | Removal and replacement of pulse generator for wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing, including device interrogation and programming; both components (battery and transmitter) |
| 0520T (E/I) | Removal and replacement of pulse generator for wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing, including device interrogation and programming; battery component only |
| 0521T (E/I) | Interrogation device evaluation (in person) with analysis, review and report, includes connection, recording, and disconnection per patient encounter, wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing |
| 0522T (E/I) | Programming device evaluation (in person) with iterative adjustment of the implantable device to test the function of the device and select optimal permanent programmed values with analysis, including review and report, wireless cardiac stimulator for left ventricular pacing |
| 0695T (E/I) | Body surface–activation mapping of pacemaker or pacing cardioverter-defibrillator lead(s) to optimize electrical synchrony, cardiac resynchronization therapy device, including connection, recording, disconnection, review, and report; at time of implant or replacement (Use 0695T in conjunction with 33224, 33225, 33226) |
| 0696T (E/I) | at time of follow-up interrogation or programming device evaluation (Use 0696T in conjunction with 93281, 93284, 93286, 93287, 93288, 93289) |

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 11 of 17

| Code | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 0795T | Transcatheter insertion of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; complete system (i.e., right atrial and right ventricular pacemaker components) (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0796T | Transcatheter insertion of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; right atrial pacemaker component (when an existing right ventricular single leadless pacemaker exists to create a dual-chamber leadless pacemaker system) (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0797T | Transcatheter insertion of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; right ventricular pacemaker component (when part of a dual-chamber leadless pacemaker system) (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0801T | Transcatheter removal and replacement of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; dual-chamber system (i.e., right atrial and right ventricular pacemaker components) (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0802T | Transcatheter removal and replacement of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; right atrial pacemaker component (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0803T | Transcatheter removal and replacement of permanent dual-chamber leadless pacemaker, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography, right ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed; right ventricular pacemaker component (when part of a dual-chamber leadless pacemaker system) (<i>Effective 07/01/23</i>) |
| 0823T | Transcatheter insertion of permanent single-chamber leadless pacemaker, right atrial, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography and/or right ventriculography, femoral venography, cavography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed (<i>Effective 01/01/24</i>) |

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 12 of 17

| Code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 0824T | Transcatheter removal of permanent single-chamber leadless pacemaker, right atrial, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography and/or right ventriculography, femoral venography, cavography), when performed (<i>Effective 01/01/24</i>) |
| 0825T | Transcatheter removal and replacement of permanent single-chamber leadless pacemaker, right atrial, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, right atrial angiography and/or right ventriculography, femoral venography, cavography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed (<i>Effective 01/01/24</i>) |
| 33202 | Insertion of epicardial electrode(s); open incision (e.g., thoracotomy, median sternotomy, subxiphoid approach) |
| 33203 | Insertion of epicardial electrode(s); endoscopic approach (e.g., thoracoscopy, pericardioscopy) |
| 33206 | Insertion or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); atrial |
| 33207 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); ventricular |
| 33208 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); atrial and ventricular |
| 33210 | Insertion or replacement of temporary transvenous single chamber cardiac electrode or pacemaker catheter (separate procedure) |
| 33211 | Insertion or replacement of temporary transvenous dual chamber pacing electrodes (separate procedure) |
| 33212 | Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing single lead |
| 33213 | Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing dual leads |
| 33214 | Upgrade of implanted pacemaker system, conversion of single chamber system to dual chamber system (includes removal of previously placed pulse generator, testing of existing lead, insertion of new lead, insertion of new generator) |
| 33215 | Repositioning of previously implanted transvenous pacemaker or implantable defibrillator (right atrial or right ventricular) electrode |
| 33216 | Insertion of a single transvenous electrode, permanent pacemaker or implantable defibrillator |
| 33217 | Insertion of 2 transvenous electrodes, permanent pacemaker or implantable defibrillator |
| 33218 | Repair of single transvenous electrode, permanent pacemaker or implantable defibrillator |

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 13 of 17

| Code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 33220 | Repair of two transvenous electrodes for permanent pacemaker or implantable defibrillator |
| 33221 | Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing multiple leads |
| 33222 | Relocation of skin pocket for pacemaker |
| 33224 | Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, with attachment to previously placed pacemaker or implantable defibrillator pulse generator (including revision of pocket, removal, insertion, and/or replacement of existing generator) |
| 33225 | Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (e.g., for upgrade to dual chamber system) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) |
| 33226 | Repositioning of previously implanted cardiac venous system (left ventricular) electrode (including removal, insertion and/or replacement of existing generator) |
| 33274 | Transcatheter insertion or replacement of permanent leadless pacemaker, right ventricular, including imaging guidance (e.g., fluoroscopy, venous ultrasound, ventriculography, femoral venography) and device evaluation (e.g., interrogation or programming), when performed |
| 93281 | Programming device evaluation (in person) with iterative adjustment of the implantable device to test the function of the device and select optimal permanent programmed values with analysis, review and report by a physician or other qualified health care professional; multiple lead pacemaker system |
| 93286 | Peri-procedural device evaluation (in person) and programming of device system parameters before or after a surgery, procedure, or test with analysis, review and report by a physician or other qualified health care professional; single, dual, or multiple lead pacemaker system, or leadless pacemaker system |
| 93288 | Interrogation device evaluation (in person) with analysis, review and report by a physician or other qualified health care professional, includes connection, recording and disconnection per patient encounter; single, dual, or multiple lead pacemaker system, or leadless pacemaker system |

Copyright © 2024 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

| Code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| C7537 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with atrial transvenous electrode(s), with insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (e.g., for upgrade to dual chamber system) |

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 14 of 17

| Code | Description |
|-------|--|
| C7538 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with ventricular transvenous electrode(s), with insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (e.g., for upgrade to dual chamber system) |
| C7539 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with atrial and ventricular transvenous electrode(s), with insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (e.g., for upgrade to dual chamber system) |
| C7540 | Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with atrial and ventricular transvenous electrode(s), with insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (e.g., for upgrade to dual chamber system) |

ICD10 Codes

| Code | Description |
|-------------|--|
| I09.81 | Rheumatic heart failure |
| I11.0-I11.9 | Hypertensive heart disease (code range) |
| I44-I44.2 | Atrioventricular and left bundle branch block (code range) |
| I44.3-I44.5 | Other and unspecified atrioventricular block (code range) |
| I44.6-I44.7 | Other and unspecified fascicular block (code range) |
| I49.9 | Cardiac arrhythmia, unspecified |
| I49.5 | Sick sinus syndrome |
| I50.1-I50.9 | Heart failure (code range) |
| Q24.6 | Congenital heart block |

REFERENCES

*Adabag S, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with minimal heart failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011 Aug 23;58(9):935-41.

*Al-Khatib SM, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2018 Oct;15(10):e73-e189.

Bazoukis G, et al. Association of QRS narrowing with response to cardiac resynchronization therapy—a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Heart Fail Rev* 2020 Sep;25(5):745-756.

Boveda S, et al. Two-year outcomes of leadless vs. transvenous single-chamber ventricular pacemaker in high-risk subgroups. *Europace* 2023 Mar 30;25(3):1041-1050.

*Cang J, et al. WiSE CRT is beneficial for heart failure patients as a rescue therapy: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2022 Mar 15;9:823797.

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 15 of 17

- *Chung MK, et al. 2023 HRS/APHRS/LAHR guideline on cardiac physiologic pacing for the avoidance and mitigation of heart failure. Heart Rhythm 2023 Sep;20(9):e17-e91.
- *El-Chami MF, et al. Leadless vs. transvenous single-chamber ventricular pacing in the Micra CED study: 2-year follow-up. Eur Heart J 2022 March;43(12):1207-1215.
- Garweg C, et al. Evolution of ventricular and valve function in patients with right ventricular pacing - A randomized controlled trial comparing leadless and conventional pacing. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2023 Dec;46(12):1455-1464.
- *Glikson M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: Developed by the Task Force on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2022;75(5):430.
- *Heidenreich PA, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022 May 3;79(17):e263-e421.
- *Joglar JA, et al. 2023 ACC/AHA/ACCP/HRS guideline for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. circulation 2024 Jan 2;149(1):e1-e156.
- Kawata H, et al. Cardiac resynchronization defibrillator therapy for nonspecific intraventricular conduction delay versus right bundle branch block. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019 Jun 25;73(24):3082-3099.
- *Knops RE, et al. A dual-chamber leadless pacemaker. N Engl J Med 2023;388(25):2360-2370.
- Kusumoto FM, et al. 2018 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline on the Evaluation and Management of Patients with Bradycardia and Cardiac Conduction Delay: Executive Summary. Circulation 2019;140:e382–e482.
- Leclercq C, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy non-responder to responder conversion rate in the more response to cardiac resynchronization therapy with MultiPoint Pacing (MORE-CRT MPP) study: results from Phase I. European Heart Journal 2019;40:2979–2987.
- *Linde C, et al. Long-term impact of cardiac resynchronization therapy in mild heart failure: 5-year results from the Resynchronization reverse Remodeling in Systolic left ventricular dysfunction (REVERSE) study. Eur Heart J 2013;34(33):2592-9.
- Massacesi C, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy with multipoint pacing via quadripolar lead versus traditional biventricular pacing: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies on hemodynamic, clinical, and prognostic parameters. Heart Rhythm 2021 Dec;2(6Part B):682-690.
- *McDonagh TA, et al. 2021 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: developed by the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European society of cardiology (esc). with the special contribution of the heart failure association (HFA) of the esc. Eur J Heart Fail 2022;24(1):4-131.
- McKay B, et al. Sex, race, and age differences of cardiovascular outcomes in cardiac resynchronization therapy RCTs: A systematic review and meta-analysis. CJC Open 2021 Sep;3(12):S192-S201.
- *Neugebauer F, et al. Leadless atrioventricular synchronous pacing in an outpatient setting: early lessons learned on factors affecting atrioventricular synchrony. Heart Rhythm 2022 May;19(5):748-756.
- *Ngo L, et al. Safety and efficacy of leadless pacemakers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc 2021 Jul 6;10(13):e019212.
- Normand C, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy pacemaker or cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator: what determines the choice? - findings from the ESC CRT Survey II. Europace 2019;21:918–927.

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 16 of 17

Okabe T, et al. Leadless left ventricular stimulation with WiSE-CRT system - initial experience and results from phase I of SOLVE-CRT Study (nonrandomized, roll-in phase). Heart Rhythm 2022 Jan;19(1):22-29.

Pujol-Lopez M, et al. conduction system pacing vs biventricular pacing in heart failure and wide qrs patients: level-at trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2022 Nov;8(11):1431-1445.

*Reddy V, et al. Primary results on safety and efficacy from the leadless II-phase 2 worldwide clinical trial. J Am Coll Cardiol EP 2022 Jan;8(1):115-117.

*Rinkuniene D, et al. Predictors of positive response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2014 Apr 29;14(1):55.

*Russo AM, et al. ACCF/HRS/AHA/ASE/HFSA/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2013 appropriate use criteria for implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and cardiac resynchronization therapy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation appropriate use criteria task force, Heart Rhythm Society, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, Heart Failure Society of America, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013 Mar 26;61(12):1318-68.

Saba S, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy using pacemakers versus defibrillators in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy: The United States experience from 2007-2014. Heart Rhythm 2019 Jul;16(7):1065-1071.

Sandhu A, et al. Use of cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator in US hospitals. JAMA Cardiol 2019 Aug; 4(8):804-809.

Sidhu BS, et al. Leadless left ventricular endocardial pacing in non-responders to conventional cardiac resynchronization therapy. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2020;43:966-973.

Sieniewicz BJ, et al. Real-world experience of leadless left ventricular endocardial cardiac resynchronization therapy: A multicenter international registry of the WiSE-CRT pacing system. Heart Rhythm 2020 Aug;17(8):1291-1297.

*Sipahi I, et al. Impact of QRS duration on clinical vent reduction with cardiac resynchronization therapy. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med 2011 Sep 12;171(16):1454-62.

*Thibault B, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with heart failure and a QRS complex <120 milliseconds: the Evaluation of Resynchronization Therapy for Heart Failure (LESSER-EARTH) trial. Circulation 2013 Feb 26;127(8):873-81.

*Tracy CM, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update of the 2008 guidelines for device-based therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation 2012 Oct 2;126(14):1784-800.

*Tu R, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with mild heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2011 Aug;25(4):331-40.

*Wells G, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. CMAJ 2011 Mar 8;183(4):421-9.

*Yancy CW, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. JACC 2013;62(16):e147-e239.

*Zareba W, et al. Effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy by QRS morphology in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (MADIT-CRT). Circulation 2011;123:1061-72.

*Zeppenfeld K, et al. 2022 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death. Eur Heart J 2022 Oct 21;43(40):3997-4126.

Medical Policy: PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY DEVICES

Policy Number: 7.01.58

Page: 17 of 17

*Key Article

KEY WORDS

Bioimpedance, Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy, Heart failure, Leadless Pacemakers, Permanent Pacemakers, Resynchronization, Wireless Pacemakers.

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

Based upon our review, cardiac resynchronization therapy for heart failure is not addressed in National or Regional Medicare coverage determinations or policies.

There is currently a Local Coverage Article (LCA) and Billing and Coding: Single Chamber and Dual Chamber Permanent Cardiac Pacemakers (A54909) for Single Chamber and Dual Chamber Permanent Cardiac Pacemakers. Please refer to the following LCA website for Medicare members: [<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=54909&ver=24&keyword=cardiac+resynchronization&keywordType=all&areaId=s41&docType=NCA%2CCAL%2CNCD%2CMEDCAC%2CTA%2CMCD%2C6%2C3%2C5%2C1%2CF%2CP&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=1>] accessed 01/05/24.