

# MEDICAL POLICY

MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS	
Medical Policy Title	Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)
Policy Number	1.01.42
Category	Technology Assessment
Original Effective Date	08/21/03
Committee Approval Date	06/17/04, 10/20/04, 4/21/05, 03/16/06, 03/15/07, 02/21/08, 01/15/09, 01/21/10, 01/20/11, 03/15/12, 03/21/13, 03/20/14, 03/19/15, 02/18/16, 05/18/17, 03/15/18, 04/18/19, 05/21/20, 07/15/21, 05/19/22, 06/22/23, 06/20/24
Current Effective Date	06/20/24
Archived Date	N/A
Archive Review Date	N/A
Product Disclaimer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Services are contract dependent; if a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply.</li> <li>• If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.</li> </ul>

## POLICY STATEMENT

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, use of a Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillator (WCD) for the prevention of sudden cardiac death will be considered **medically appropriate** as interim treatment in patients for **ANY** of the following indications:
  - A. Require ex-plantation of the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) due to infection or lead displacement;
  - B. Experience Contraindications (i.e., infection, systemic instability) or reasonable delays in surgery (max of 30 days) for implantation or reimplantation;
  - C. On the waiting list for heart transplantation;
  - D. For primary prevention, as a bridge in consideration to ICD implantation for newly diagnosed dilated cardiomyopathy (ischemic or nonischemic) with LVEF less than or equal to 35%;
  - E. As a bridge in consideration to ICD implantation for patients within 40 days following myocardial infarction (MI) for **EITHER** of the following:
    1. History of ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation after the first 48 hours of the MI; or
    2. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than or equal to 35%.
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, home use of an Automatic External Defibrillator (AED) will be considered **medically appropriate** for those who meet the criteria for an ICD device, but who are not candidates for (have contraindications to) implanting the device. Approval of a home AED will also be contingent upon having a caregiver who is both capable (trained) and available to use the device.
- III. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, the use of an Automatic External Defibrillator (AED) or a Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillator (WCD) for any other indication is considered **investigational**. This determination includes potential WCD use in the immediate post-myocardial infarction period for patients who do not meet criteria for an ICD device.

## Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)

Policy Number: 1.01.42

Page: 2 of 7

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #1.01.00 Durable Medical Equipment: Standard and Non-Standard

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #7.01.06 Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental or Investigational Services

### **POLICY GUIDELINES**

- I. Patients who meet coverage criteria for a WCD, will also be able to receive an AED, as the vest cannot be worn at all times (e.g., when showering).
- II. As part of the initial request, provider documentation must include the instructions provided to the patient regarding prescribed wear time (e.g., 23 hours/day, exception for showering), a full cardiac and non-cardiac workup (including but not limited to cardiac catheterization notes, electrophysiology studies and echocardiogram) and plan of care addressing ICD placement and reason that ICD or transplant cannot occur.
- III. Continuation of WCD coverage beyond 60 days requires documented re-assessment of the current medical condition, re-evaluation of current LVEF, and current plan of care for ICD implantation or transplant. Additionally, documentation will require demonstration of compliance during the 60-day period, which would include both the amount of time and days worn.
- IV. Home AEDs and WCDs are considered durable medical equipment (DME). Coverage for DME is contract dependent.
- V.

<b>NEW YORK HEART ASSOCIATION HEART FAILURE CLASSIFICATIONS</b>	
Class I	Cardiac disease- No symptoms and no limitations in ordinary activity
Class II Mild	Mild symptoms and slight limitations in ordinary activity which may cause symptoms like fatigue, dyspnea, palpitations
Class III Moderate	Significant limitations in activity due to symptoms. Comfortable only at rest. Less than ordinary activity causes symptoms like fatigue, dyspnea, palpitations
Class IV Severe	Severe limitations. Symptoms of heart failure even while at rest. If any physical activity is undertaken, discomfort increases

### **DESCRIPTION**

#### Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs)

Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) are compact, portable devices that are capable of monitoring or assessing cardiac rhythms, detecting dysrhythmias, and delivering an electrical shock. AED units use a microprocessor inside a portable defibrillator to recognize ventricular fibrillation (VF) or ventricular tachycardia (VT), and either advises the operator that electrical defibrillation is needed or delivers a shock to the heart when appropriate, without any user decision-making. An AED specifically designed for home use is now available to consumers without a physician's prescription. In September 2004, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the HeartStart Home Defibrillator (Philips Medical Systems), a simpler version of a model already marketed by the manufacturer for public places such as airports, shopping malls, and office centers, for over-the-counter sale.

#### Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)

Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs) are external devices that are intended to perform the same tasks as an ICD without requiring any invasive procedures. It may be utilized for adult patients who are at risk for sudden cardiac arrest and are not candidates for or refuse an ICD. LIFECOR's (ZOLL) wearable defibrillator features a strap worn over the chest below the heart, which is connected to the central unit, and held in place by a belt around the waist or in a lightweight vest that may be worn under normal clothing. The device weighs a total of about three pounds. Patients wear it continuously removing it only for bathing or showering. The ASSURE device, styled and engineered by leading athletic

## **Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)**

**Policy Number: 1.01.42**

**Page: 3 of 7**

and fashion designers, is tailored in two styles and a wide range of sizes, featuring non-adhesive cushioned ECG sensors and is washable. This device consists of an alert button (Heartpoint), a vest type garment (Sensorfit) and an ASSURE proprietary detection algorithm.

The wearable device continuously monitors the patient's heart to detect life-threatening abnormal heart rhythms. The defibrillator detects abnormal heart rhythms by sensing the heart's electrical activity on the surface of the chest. If a life-threatening rhythm is detected and the patient loses consciousness, the device delivers an electrical shock to restore normal rhythm. If the device alarm sounds, and the patient is conscious, the patient can disable the electrical charge by pressing the button(s) on the control panel. Typically, once a week the physician may want the patient to connect the monitor to an external modem and send the data over the phone for physician review.

### **RATIONALE**

While there are no studies showing the impact of home AEDs in high-risk patients who otherwise meet criteria for an ICD, the benefit of a defibrillator has been shown for these patients and studies have also demonstrated that the home AED can successfully treat the dysrhythmia. Thus, these devices are an alternative in patients who cannot receive an ICD.

The FDA granted marketing clearance for the over-the-counter sale of the HeartStart Home Defibrillator, which was previously available for home use with a prescription. The FDA based its decision on a review of data submitted by the manufacturer, demonstrating that the AED could be used by lay people without medical supervision. Mortality data was not collected.

In 2007, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommended in children and infants of all ages who suffer ventricular fibrillation must be provided defibrillation as soon as possible after arrest. However, they have not made a recommendation regarding whether or when AEDs should be placed in the home setting.

The 2017 AHA recommendations for Electrical Therapies (Automated External Defibrillators, Defibrillation, Cardioversion, and Pacing) states that approximately 70% of sudden cardiac arrests (SCA) occur in the home, and the rate of survival to hospital discharge after AED placement by emergency medical services is significantly lower for arrest at home (12%) versus public settings (34%). However, in a randomized control trial (RCT) of AEDs, home AED placement did not improve the survival of patients recovering from an anterior MI. Appropriate device location to reduce time delay after onset of SCA is critical. In addition to prevention, critical components of survival from SCA include immediate recognition and activation of the emergency response system, early high-quality cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR), and rapid defibrillation for shockable rhythms.

The American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA)/European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2006 Guideline for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death states that placement of AEDs in the home appears to be reasonable and appropriate for patients at high risk for life-threatening arrhythmias.

In July 2021, the FDA granted pre-market approval for the ASSURE Wearable Cardiac Defibrillator (WCD) system by Kestra Medical Technologies. A trial was completed in 2019, which is published ahead of print:

The ASSURE WCD Clinical Evaluation - Detection and Safety Study (ACE-DETECT): 130 adult subjects at risk for sudden cardiac arrest but otherwise protected by an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) were enrolled at 10 clinical sites in the United States. The device was worn for approximately 30 days during normal daily activities including sleep. The WCD shock alarms and shock functionality was disabled. Shock Alarm Event Markers were recorded by the WCD and used for analysis of the primary outcome measure.

The results of this study demonstrated 163 WCD episodes, four were Ventricular Tachycardia (VT)/Ventricular fibrillation (VF) and 159 non-VT/VF (121 rhythms with noise, 32 uncertain with noise, 6 atrial flutter without noise). Only three false-positive shock alarm markers were recorded; one false-positive shock alarm every 1333 patient-days (0.00075 per patient-day, 95% confidence interval: 0.00015-0.00361;  $p < .001$ ). No ICD recorded VT/VF episodes meeting WCD detection criteria ( $\geq 170$  bpm for  $\geq 20$  s) were missed by the WCD during 3501 patient-days of use. Median wear was 31.0 days (interquartile range [IQR] 2.0) and median daily use 23.0 h (IQR 1.7). Adverse events were mostly mild: skin irritation (19.4%) and musculoskeletal discomfort (8.5%). This study demonstrated that the ASSURE

## Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)

Policy Number: 1.01.42

Page: 4 of 7

WCD demonstrated a low false-positive shock alarm rate, low patient-reported discomfort, and no serious adverse events.

Available data establish that a WCD device can detect lethal arrhythmias and can successfully deliver a counter shock in the majority of cases and based on review of then-existing studies, one could conclude that there are a small number of patients who meet established criteria for and will benefit from an ICD but have a contraindication for an implantable device. The most common contraindication is an infectious process that either precludes insertion or requires the removal of an ICD, and there must be a delay before reinsertion. In these patients who are scheduled for AICD placement, the WCD is considered medically appropriate as an interim treatment. The evidence shows:

- I. these patients benefit from the cardioverter-defibrillator, and
- II. that the WCD can detect and treat lethal dysrhythmias in these patients.

Thus, the Technical Assessment concluded, for patients with a transient contraindication to AICD placement, the WCD improves outcomes compared to use of no device.

The AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death was updated in 2017. The guidelines states that in patients who are at an increased risk of SCD but who are not ineligible for an ICD, such as those awaiting cardiac transplant, having a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 35% or less and within 40 days from an MI; or those who have newly diagnosed nonischemic cardiomyopathy (NICM), revascularization within the past 90 days, myocarditis or secondary cardiomyopathy or a systemic infection, a WCD may be reasonable. This is a Class IIb recommendation (may/might be reasonable and considered; usefulness, or effectiveness unknown/unclear/uncertain or not well established), level of evidence (LOE): B (moderate quality evidence from one or more well-designed, well-executed nonrandomized studies, observational studies or registry studies, or meta-analyses of such studies). In patients with an ICD and a history of SCA or sustained ventricular arrhythmia (VA) in whom removal of the ICD is required (as with infection), the WCD is reasonable for the prevention of SCD. This is a Class IIa recommendation (is reasonable, can be useful/effective/beneficial), LOE: B).

There is some interest in using the WCD in the immediate post-MI period as a bridge to possible AICD after a 30-day period to determine the final ejection fraction. Some experts recommend that the WCD should be used for patients in the immediate post-MI period. The indications for a permanent ICD cannot be reliably assessed immediately post-MI since it is not possible to determine the final ejection fraction until at least 30 days after the event. Furthermore, the first 30 days following an acute MI represent a high-risk period for lethal ventricular arrhythmias.

Olgin et al. (2018) reported results from the Vest Prevention of Early Sudden Death Trial (VEST) which assessed the efficacy of a WCD for patients during the period after an acute MI who have reduced LVEF (less than or equal to 35%) before and ICD is indicated. The primary outcome was the composite of sudden death or arrhythmic death (death from ventricular tachyarrhythmia) at 90 days. Patients were randomly assigned 2:1 to a WCD and guideline-directed therapy (n = 1524) or guideline directed therapy alone (control group) (n = 778). Arrhythmic death and death from any cause occurred in 1.6% and 3.1% of the WCD group and in 2.4% and 4.9% of the control group, respectively. Only 12 of the participants in the WCD group were wearing the WCD at the time of death. Appropriate shocks were delivered to 20 participants (1.3%) and nine patients received inappropriate shocks (0.6%). The WCD was worn for a median of 18.0 hours/day. The authors concluded in patients with recent MI and LVEF less than or equal to 35%, WCD use did not lead to a significantly lower rate of arrhythmic death compared to the control during the first 90 days.

Veltmann et al. reported the 2020 WEARIT-II-EUROPE registry results, it is a prospective multicenter observational study aimed to assess the value of the wearable cardioverter defibrillator (WCD) prior to ICD implantation in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction considered at risk of sudden arrhythmic death. It consisted of 781 consecutively enrolled patients with heart failure and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. All patients received a wearable cardiac device. Follow up time for all patients was 12 months. Mean baseline LVEF was 26.9%. Mean wearing time was 75 days, mean daily WCD use was 20.3 hours. WCD shocks terminated 13 VT/VF events in ten patients (1.3%). Two patients died during WCD prescription of non-arrhythmic cause. Mean LVEF increased from 26.9 to 36.3% at the end of WCD prescription. After WCD use, ICDs were implanted in only 289 patients (37%). 44% of all patients, LVEF was higher than 35% at the end of WCD prescription, making ICD implantation redundant or at least not guideline indicated. Of the 51% of patients with a baseline LVEF  $\leq$  25%, only 22% continued to have a LVEF < 35%.

## Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)

Policy Number: 1.01.42

Page: 5 of 7

WEARIT-II-EUROPE showed that the WCD represents a promising approach for protected individual risk assessment prior to deciding for ICD implantation in patients with a presumed but not yet confirmed risk of sudden cardiac death.

### CODES

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.
- Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/ appropriate = (NMN).

#### CPT Codes

Code	Description
93292	Interrogation device evaluation (in person) with analysis, review and report by a physician or other qualified health care professional, includes connection, recording and disconnection per patient encounter; wearable defibrillator system
93745	Initial set-up and programming by a physician or other qualified health care professional of wearable cardioverter-defibrillator includes initial programming of system, establishing baseline electronic ECG, transmission of data-to-data repository, patient instruction in wearing system and patient reporting of problems or events

Copyright © 2024 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

#### HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
E0617	External defibrillator with integrated electrocardiogram analysis
K0606	Automatic external defibrillator, with integrated electrocardiogram analysis, garment type
K0607	Replacement battery for automated external defibrillator, garment type only, each
K0608	Replacement garment for use with automated external defibrillator, each
K0609	Replacement electrodes for use with automated external defibrillator, garment type only, each

#### ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
	Multiple diagnosis codes

### REFERENCES

\*Al-Khatib SM, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation 2018 Sep;138(13):e210-e271, e348-e349.

\*American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine; American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Cardiology and Cardiac Surgery, Markenson D, Ventricular fibrillation and the use of automated external defibrillators on children. Pediatrics 2007 Nov;120(5):1159-1161.

## **Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)**

**Policy Number: 1.01.42**

**Page: 6 of 7**

\*2010 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science. Part 6: electrical therapies automated external defibrillators, defibrillation, cardioversion, and pacing. Circulation 2010;122:S706-S719. [[http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/122/18\\_suppl\\_3/S706](http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/122/18_suppl_3/S706)] accessed 04/02/24.

Cardelli LS, et al. Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillator Shortens the Lengths of Stay in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction after Myocardial Infarction: A Single-Centre Real-World Experience. J Clin Med 2023 Jul 25;12(15):4884.

Cheung CC, et al. Wearable cardioverter-defibrillators: a review of evidence and indications. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2020 Mar 12 [Epub ahead of print].

El-Battrawy I, et al. Use of the wearable cardioverter-defibrillator among patients with myocarditis and reduced ejection fraction or ventricular tachyarrhythmia: data from a multicenter registry. J Am Heart Assoc 2023 Sep 19;12(18):e030615.

\*Jorgenson DB, et al. AED use in businesses, public facilities and homes by minimally trained first responders. Resuscitation 2003 Nov;59(2):225-233.

\*Kutyifa V, et al. Use of the wearable cardioverter defibrillator in high-risk cardiac patients: data from the prospective registry of patients using the wearable cardioverter defibrillator (WEARIT-II Registry). Circulation 2015 Oct 27;132(17):1613-9.

Kovacs B, et al. High incidence of inappropriate alarms in patients with wearable cardioverter-defibrillators: findings from the Swiss Wcd registry. J Clin Med 2021 Aug 25;10(17):3811.

Lai M, et al. Risk Factors for Arrhythmic Death, Overall Mortality, and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmias Requiring Shock After Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 2023 Jan 15;187:18-25.

Lee BK. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator is needed for most high-risk patients. Heart Rhythm O2 2020 Jul 14;1(3):227-229.

Linden K, et al. Advances in clinical cardiology 2018: A summary of key clinical trials. Adv Ther 2019 Jul;36(7):1549-1573.

\*Markenson D, et al. Ventricular fibrillation, and the use of automated external defibrillators on children. Pediatrics 2007 Nov;120(5):e1368-1379.

Masri A, et al. Wearable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy for the prevention of sudden cardiac death: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2019 Feb;5(2):152-161.

Olgin JE, et al. Impact of wearable cardioverter-defibrillator compliance on outcomes in the VEST trial: as-treated and per-protocol analyses. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2020 Feb 21 [Epub ahead of print].

\*Ommen SR, et al. 2020 AHA/ACC guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Executive Summary: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2020 Dec 22;142(25):e533-e557.

\*Poole JE, et al. A wearable cardioverter defibrillator with a low false alarm rate. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2022 Feb 16.

Rosenkaimer SL. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator: experience in 153 patients and a long-term follow-up. J Clin Med 2020 Mar 24;9(3).

Sandhu U, et al. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator vest: Indications and ongoing questions. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2019 May-Jun;62(3):256-264.

Sharma PS, et al. Indications and use of the wearable cardiac defibrillator. Eur Heart J 2017;38:258-67.

Varma N. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator-Improving comfort and reaching towards noise immunity. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2022 Feb 17.

Veltmann C, et al. Protected risk stratification with the wearable cardioverter-defibrillator: results from the WEARIT-II-EUROPE registry. Clin Res Cardiol 2021 Jan;110(1):102-113.

**Medical Policy: Home Automatic External Defibrillators (AEDs) and Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators (WCDs)**

**Policy Number: 1.01.42**

**Page: 7 of 7**

Weth C, et al. Real-world experience with the wearable cardioverter defibrillator: clinical effectiveness and wear-time adherence in patients at high risk for sudden cardiac death. Herzschrittmacherther Elektrophysiol 2022 Mar;33(1):55-62. English.

Zaman S, Goldberger JJ, Kovoor P. Sudden Death Risk-Stratification in 2018-2019: The Old and the New. Heart Lung Circ 2019 Jan;28(1):57-64.

\*Key Article

**KEY WORDS**

AED, Automatic External Defibrillator, HeartStart, LIFECOR, WCD, Wearable Cardioverter Defibrillators.

**CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS**

There is currently a Local Coverage Determination (LCD) for Automatic External Defibrillators (L33690). Please refer to the following LCD website for Medicare Members: [[https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33690&ContrId=389&ver=20&ContrVer=1&CntrctrSelected=389\\*1&Cntrctr=389&s=41&DocType=1&bc=AAQAAAIAAAA&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33690&ContrId=389&ver=20&ContrVer=1&CntrctrSelected=389*1&Cntrctr=389&s=41&DocType=1&bc=AAQAAAIAAAA&)] accessed 04/02/24.